

# Homogeneous Lorentzian Structures on the Oscillator groups \*

P.M. GADEA AND J.A. OUBIÑA <sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

We obtain all the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures on the oscillator groups equipped with a family of left-invariant Lorentzian metrics. Moreover, in the 4-dimensional case we determine all the corresponding reductive decompositions and groups of isometries.

## 1 Introduction

In [7] Medina proved that the oscillator groups are, except for direct extensions with Euclidean groups, the only non-commutative simply connected solvable Lie groups which admit a bi-invariant Lorentzian metric. From the bi-invariance of the metric, it turns out that the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian spaces are symmetric. These groups also appear in various types of problems which arise from mathematical physics. For instance, the Lie algebra of the 4-dimensional oscillator group is associated to the harmonic oscillator problem (see Streater [11], where the group is so named because of this property) and, on the other hand, this Lorentzian symmetric space-time has been found to be a special case of solutions of the Einstein-Yang-Mills equations (see Levichev [5]).

It is a well-known fact that under certain topological conditions, a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space is characterized by the vanishing of the covariant derivative of the curvature. In the homogeneous Riemannian case, Ambrose and Singer [1] extended that characterization. They proved that a connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is homogeneous if and only if there exists a  $(1, 2)$  tensor field  $S$  on  $M$  (called a homogeneous Riemannian structure) satisfying certain properties (see (2.1)). In [2] we have extended the Ambrose-Singer characterization to the case of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, introducing homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures. We proved that a connected, simply connected and geodesically complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  admits a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure if and only if it is a reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian space. Furthermore, in [3]

---

\*MS Classification (1991): 53C30, 53C50, 22E35. Key Words: Homogeneous structures, pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, oscillator groups.

<sup>†</sup>Partially supported by DGES (Spain) under Project PB95-0124 and by Xunta de Galicia under Project XUGA 20703B98.

we have obtained a classification of homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures into eight classes similar to the Tricerri-Vanhecke classification [12] for the Riemannian case. If the signature of the metric is  $(k, n - k)$ , those classes are defined by the subspaces of certain space  $\mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$  which are invariant under the action of the pseudo-orthogonal group  $O_k(n)$ . The trivial class corresponds to the symmetric pseudo-Riemannian spaces.

In this paper, we consider a family of Lorentzian left-invariant metrics on the oscillator groups which generalize those ones introduced by Levichev [6] in his study of causal homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifolds. All the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian spaces except one are not symmetric, and our purpose is to study their homogeneity by means of their homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures. The contents of this paper are as follows. In §2 we recall some results about homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures. In §3 we give the formulas for the Levi-Civita connections and curvatures of a family of left-invariant Lorentzian metrics on the  $(2m + 2)$ -dimensional oscillator group  $G(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ ,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . In §4 we obtain the general expressions for the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures on these Lorentzian manifolds. Finally, in §5 we determine all the reductive decompositions associated to each homogeneous Lorentzian structure in the nonsymmetric 4-dimensional cases and we obtain all the corresponding groups of isometries.

## 2 Homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures

Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected  $C^\infty$  pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  and signature  $(k, n - k)$ . Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$  and  $R$  the curvature tensor field, for which we adopt the conventions  $R_{XY}Z = \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z - \nabla_X\nabla_YZ + \nabla_Y\nabla_XZ$ ,  $R_{XYZW} = g(R_{XY}Z, W)$ , for  $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

A *homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure* on  $(M, g)$  is [2] a tensor field  $S$  of type  $(1, 2)$  on  $M$  such that the connection  $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$  satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}R = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}S = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

If  $g$  is a Lorentzian metric ( $k = 1$ ), we say that  $S$  is a *homogeneous Lorentzian structure*. In [2] we have proved that if  $(M, g)$  is connected, simply connected and geodesically complete then it admits a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure if and only if it is a reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

Let  $V$  be a real vector space endowed with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of signature  $(k, n - k)$ . The space  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is the model for each tangent space  $T_xM$ ,  $x \in M$ , of a reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature  $(k, n - k)$ . Consider the vector space  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  of tensors of type  $(0, 3)$  on  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  satisfying the same symmetries as those of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure  $S$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S}(V) = \{S \in \otimes^3 V^* : S_{XYZ} = -S_{XZY}, X, Y, Z \in V\}$ , where  $S_{XYZ} = \langle S_X Y, Z \rangle$ . The inner product of  $V$  induces in a natural way an inner product in  $\mathcal{S}(V)$ , given by  $\langle S, S' \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k S_{e_i e_j e_k} S'_{e_i e_j e_k}$ , where  $\{e_i\}$  is

an orthonormal basis of  $V$ ,  $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = \varepsilon_i$ ,  $\varepsilon_i = -1$  if  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  if  $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ . In [3], we have established the decomposition of  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  into invariant and irreducible subspaces under the action of the pseudo-orthogonal group  $O_k(n)$  given by  $(aS)_{XYZ} = S_{a^{-1}X a^{-1}Y a^{-1}Z}$ ,  $a \in O_k(n)$ . If  $c_{12}: \mathcal{S}(V) \rightarrow V^*$  is the map defined by

$$c_{12}(S)(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i S_{e_i e_i Z}, \quad Z \in V, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\{e_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$  as above, we have

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $\dim V \geq 3$ , then  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  decomposes into the orthogonal direct sum of subspaces which are invariant and irreducible under the action of  $O_k(n)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(V) = \mathcal{S}_1(V) \oplus \mathcal{S}_2(V) \oplus \mathcal{S}_3(V)$ , where*

$$\mathcal{S}_1(V) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}(V) : S_{XYZ} = \langle X, Y \rangle \omega(Z) - \langle X, Z \rangle \omega(Y), \omega \in V^*\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2(V) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}(V) : \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} S_{XYZ} = 0, c_{12}(S) = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_3(V) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}(V) : S_{XYZ} + S_{YXZ} = 0\}.$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1(V) \oplus \mathcal{S}_2(V) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}(V) : \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} S_{XYZ} = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1(V) \oplus \mathcal{S}_3(V) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}(V) : S_{XYZ} + S_{YXZ} = 2\langle X, Y \rangle \omega(Z) - \langle X, Z \rangle \omega(Y) - \langle Y, Z \rangle \omega(X), \omega \in V^*\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2(V) \oplus \mathcal{S}_3(V) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}(V) : c_{12}(S) = 0\}.$$

Moreover,  $\dim \mathcal{S}_1(V) = n$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{S}_2(V) = n(n^2 - 4)/3$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{S}_3(V) = n(n-1)(n-2)/6$  and  $\dim \mathcal{S}(V) = n^2(n-1)/2$ . If  $\dim V = 2$  then  $\mathcal{S}(V) = \mathcal{S}_1(V)$ .

We say that the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure  $S$  on  $(M, g)$  is of type  $\{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) or  $\mathcal{S}_i \oplus \mathcal{S}_j$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ ) if, for each point  $x \in M$ ,  $S(x) \in \mathcal{S}(T_x M)$  belongs to  $\{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i(T_x M)$  or  $(\mathcal{S}_i \oplus \mathcal{S}_j)(T_x M)$ , respectively.

### 3 The oscillator groups

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  be  $m$  positive real numbers and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ . The *oscillator algebra*  $\mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda) = \mathfrak{g}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  is defined as the real Lie algebra with  $2m+2$  generators  $P, X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, Q$ , with nonzero brackets (see [7, 8, 10])

$$[X_j, Y_j] = P, \quad [Q, X_j] = \lambda_j Y_j, \quad [Q, Y_j] = -\lambda_j X_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

That is,  $\mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$  is the semidirect product of the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_m$  generated by  $P, X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ , and the line generated by  $Q$ , under the homomorphism  $\text{ad}_{|_{\mathfrak{h}_m}}: \langle Q \rangle \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h}_m)$ . It is a solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebra and the connected simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$  is the *oscillator group*  $G_m(\lambda) = G(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ .

If we identify the  $(2m+1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group  $H_m$  with the manifold  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^m$  equipped with the product

$$(p, z_1, \dots, z_m)(p', z'_1, \dots, z'_m) = (p + p' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Im}(\bar{z}_j z'_j), z_1 + z'_1, \dots, z_m + z'_m),$$

then the oscillator group  $G_m(\lambda)$  may be described as the semidirect product  $H_m \times_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ , where the action  $\alpha : H_m \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H_m$  is given by  $\alpha_q(p, z_1, \dots, z_m) = (p, e^{i\lambda_1 q} z_1, \dots, e^{i\lambda_m q} z_m)$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, the group operation in  $G_m(\lambda)$  is

$$(p, z_1, \dots, z_m, q) (p', z'_1, \dots, z'_m, q') = (p + p' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Im}(\bar{z}_j e^{i\lambda_j q} z'_j), z_1 + e^{i\lambda_1 q} z'_1, \dots, z_m + e^{i\lambda_m q} z'_m, q + q').$$

We consider on  $G_m(\lambda)$  the family of left-invariant Lorentzian metrics  $g_\varepsilon$ ,  $-1 < \varepsilon < 1$ , with nonvanishing inner products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varepsilon$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$  given by

$$\langle P, P \rangle_\varepsilon = \langle Q, Q \rangle_\varepsilon = \varepsilon, \quad \langle P, Q \rangle_\varepsilon = 1, \quad \langle X_i, X_j \rangle_\varepsilon = \langle Y_i, Y_j \rangle_\varepsilon = \delta_{ij}. \quad (3.1)$$

If  $\varepsilon = 0$  and  $\lambda_i = 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , the corresponding Lorentzian metric is also right-invariant. In the other cases,  $g_\varepsilon$  is not bi-invariant.

The Levi-Civita connection is given by  $2\langle \nabla_U V, W \rangle_\varepsilon = \langle [U, V], W \rangle_\varepsilon - \langle [V, W], U \rangle_\varepsilon + \langle [W, U], V \rangle_\varepsilon$  for all  $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$ . So, we obtain that the not always null covariant derivatives between generators are

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_P X_j &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} Y_j = \nabla_{X_j} P, & \nabla_{X_j} Q &= -\frac{1}{2} Y_j, & \nabla_Q X_j &= (\lambda_j - \frac{1}{2}) Y_j, \\ \nabla_P Y_j &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} X_j = \nabla_{Y_j} P, & \nabla_{Y_j} Q &= \frac{1}{2} X_j, & \nabla_Q Y_j &= -(\lambda_j - \frac{1}{2}) X_j, \\ \nabla_{X_j} Y_k &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{jk} P = -\nabla_{Y_k} X_j, \end{aligned}$$

and the not always null components of the curvature tensor field are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{PX_j} P &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} X_j, & R_{PY_j} P &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} Y_j, \\ R_{PX_j} X_k &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \delta_{jk} P, & R_{PY_j} Y_k &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \delta_{jk} P, \\ R_{PX_j} Q &= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} X_j, & R_{PY_j} Q &= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} Y_j, \\ R_{X_j} Q P &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{4} X_j, & R_{Y_j} Q P &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{4} Y_j, \\ R_{X_j} Q X_k &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_{jk} P, & R_{Y_j} Q Y_k &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_{jk} P, \\ R_{X_j} Q Q &= -\frac{1}{4} X_j, & R_{Y_j} Q Q &= -\frac{1}{4} Y_j, \\ R_{X_i} X_j Y_k &= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} (\delta_{jk} Y_i - \delta_{ik} Y_j), & R_{Y_i} Y_j X_k &= \frac{\varepsilon}{4} (\delta_{jk} X_i - \delta_{ik} X_j), \\ R_{X_j} Y_k X_i &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\delta_{jk} Y_i + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ik} Y_j), \\ R_{X_j} Y_k Y_i &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\delta_{jk} X_i + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} X_k). \end{aligned}$$

## 4 Homogeneous Lorentzian structures on $G_m(\lambda)$

We shall determine the homogeneous Lorentzian structures on  $G_m(\lambda)$  in terms of the basis  $\{\eta, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^m, \beta^1, \dots, \beta^m, \xi\}$  dual to  $\{P, X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, Q\}$ . If  $S$  is a homogeneous Lorentzian structure on  $(G_m(\lambda), g_\varepsilon)$  and  $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$ , then the condition  $\tilde{\nabla} g = 0$  in (2.1) is equivalent to  $S_{WUV} + S_{WVU} = 0$  for all

$W, U, V \in \mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$ . Moreover,  $\widetilde{\nabla}R = 0$  is equivalent to the condition

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W R)(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4) = & -R(S_W V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4) - R(V_1, S_W V_2, V_3, V_4) \\ & -R(V_1, V_2, S_W V_3, V_4) - R(V_1, V_2, V_3, S_W V_4), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $W, V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 \in \mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$ . Substituting  $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4)$  by  $(P, X_j, P, Q)$ ,  $(X_j, Y_j, Y_j, Q)$ ,  $(P, Y_j, P, Q)$  and  $(X_j, Y_j, X_j, Q)$ , we obtain, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon S_{WPX_j} + \varepsilon^2 S_{WX_j Q} &= 0, & S_{WPX_j} - 3\varepsilon S_{WX_j Q} &= 2\varepsilon\beta^j(W), \\ \varepsilon S_{WPY_j} + \varepsilon^2 S_{WY_j Q} &= 0, & S_{WPY_j} - 3\varepsilon S_{WY_j Q} &= -2\varepsilon\alpha^j(W). \end{aligned}$$

From these equations we have

$$S_{WPX_j} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\beta^j(W), \quad S_{WPY_j} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\alpha^j(W), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\varepsilon(S_{WX_j Q} + \frac{1}{2}\beta^j(W)) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(S_{WY_j Q} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^j(W)) = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Replacing  $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4)$  in (4.1) by  $(X_j, X_k, X_j, Y_j)$  and  $(X_i, X_j, Y_i, Y_k)$ , with  $j \neq k$ , we obtain, respectively,

$$\varepsilon(S_{WX_j Y_k} - S_{WX_k Y_j}) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(S_{WX_j X_k} - S_{WY_j Y_k}) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Finally, replacing  $(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4)$  in (4.1) by  $(X_j, Q, X_j, Q)$ , we obtain

$$S_{WPQ} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

It is easy to see that the condition  $\widetilde{\nabla}R = 0$  in (2.1) is satisfied if and only if the equations (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) are satisfied for all  $W \in \mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$ . We put

$$\theta_{jk}(W) = S_{WX_j Y_k}, \quad \mu_{jk}(W) = S_{WX_j X_k}, \quad \nu_{jk}(W) = S_{WY_j Y_k}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\rho_j(W) = S_{WX_j Q}, \quad \sigma_j(W) = S_{WY_j Q}, \quad (4.7)$$

for  $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ . We have  $\mu_{jk} = -\mu_{kj}$  and  $\nu_{jk} = -\nu_{kj}$ . Now, we shall determine the conditions for the 1-forms  $\theta_{jk}$ ,  $\mu_{jk}$ ,  $\nu_{jk}$ ,  $\rho_j$  and  $\sigma_j$  under which the condition  $\widetilde{\nabla}S = 0$  in (2.1) is satisfied.

By (4.2), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), the connection  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_Z P &= 0, & \widetilde{\nabla}_Z Q &= \sum_i (\rho_i + \frac{1}{2}\beta^i)(Z)X_i + \sum_i (\sigma_i - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^i)(Z)Y_i, \\ \widetilde{\nabla}_Z X_j &= -(\rho_j + \frac{1}{2}\beta^j)(Z)P + \sum_i \mu_{ij}(Z)X_i - \sum_i \theta_{ji}(Z)Y_i + ((\lambda_j - \frac{1}{2})\xi - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\eta)(Z)Y_j, \\ \widetilde{\nabla}_Z Y_j &= -(\sigma_j - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^j)(Z)P + \sum_i \theta_{ij}(Z)X_i + (\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\eta - (\lambda_j - \frac{1}{2})\xi)(Z)X_j + \sum_i \nu_{ij}(Z)Y_i, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$ . Then, replacing  $(V_1, V_2)$  in the equation  $(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z S)(W, V_1, V_2) = 0$  by  $(X_j, Y_k)$ ,  $(X_j, X_k)$ ,  $(Y_j, Y_k)$ ,  $(X_j, Q)$  and  $(Y_j, Q)$  we obtain, respectively,

being  $\Lambda_j = \lambda_j - \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\tilde{\nabla}\theta_{jk} = \sum_i (\theta_{ik} \wedge \mu_{ji} + \nu_{ik} \wedge \theta_{ji}) + \Lambda_j \xi \otimes \nu_{jk} - \Lambda_k \xi \otimes \mu_{jk}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}\mu_{jk} = \sum_i (\mu_{ik} \wedge \mu_{ji} + \theta_{ji} \wedge \theta_{ki}) + \Lambda_k \xi \otimes \theta_{jk} - \Lambda_j \xi \otimes \theta_{kj}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}\nu_{jk} = \sum_i (\nu_{ik} \wedge \nu_{ji} + \theta_{ij} \wedge \theta_{ik}) + \Lambda_k \xi \otimes \theta_{kj} - \Lambda_j \xi \otimes \theta_{jk}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}\rho_j = \sum_i (\rho_i \wedge \mu_{ji} + \sigma_i \wedge \theta_{ji}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\beta^i \otimes \mu_{ji} - \alpha^i \otimes \theta_{ji}) + (\Lambda_j \xi - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \eta) \otimes \sigma_j, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}\sigma_j = \sum_i (\sigma_i \wedge \nu_{ji} - \rho_i \wedge \theta_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\alpha^i \otimes \nu_{ij} - \beta^i \otimes \theta_{ij}) - (\Lambda_j \xi - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \eta) \otimes \rho_j. \quad (4.12)$$

In the case of the bi-invariant metric ( $\varepsilon = 0$  and  $\lambda_i = 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ), the oscillator group is a Lorentzian symmetric space and the tensor field  $S = 0$  is a homogeneous Lorentzian structure on  $(G_m(\lambda), g_0)$ . Moreover, from (4.2), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we deduce

**Theorem 4.1.** *All the homogeneous Lorentzian structures on the oscillator group  $G_m(\lambda)$  with the left-invariant Lorentzian metric  $g_0$  are given by*

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^m (\rho_i \otimes (\alpha^i \wedge \xi) + \sigma_i \otimes (\beta^i \wedge \xi)) + \sum_{j,k=1}^m \theta_{jk} \otimes (\alpha^j \wedge \beta^k) \\ + \sum_{j < k} (\mu_{jk} \otimes (\alpha^j \wedge \alpha^k) + \nu_{jk} \otimes (\beta^j \wedge \beta^k)),$$

where  $\theta_{jk}, \mu_{jk}, \nu_{jk}, \rho_j, \sigma_j$  ( $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ ), are left-invariant 1-forms on  $G_m(\lambda)$  satisfying  $\mu_{jk} = -\mu_{kj}$ ,  $\nu_{jk} = -\nu_{kj}$  and the equations (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) with  $\varepsilon = 0$ .

In particular, putting  $\theta_{jk} = \mu_{jk} = \nu_{jk} = \rho_j = \sigma_j = 0$  in the above theorem, we obtain that  $S = 0$  is a homogeneous Lorentzian structure on  $(G_m(\lambda), g_0)$  and hence we have

**Corollary 4.2.** *For each  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ ,  $(G_m(\lambda), g_0)$  is a Lorentzian symmetric space.*

If  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , equations (4.3) and (4.4) are equivalent respectively to

$$\rho_j = -\beta^j / 2, \quad \sigma_j = \alpha^j / 2, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\theta_{jk} = \theta_{kj}, \quad \mu_{jk} = \nu_{jk}. \quad (4.14)$$

By using (4.2), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain

**Theorem 4.3.** *All the homogeneous Lorentzian structures on the oscillator group  $G_m(\lambda)$  with the left-invariant Lorentzian metric  $g_\varepsilon$  defined by (3.1),  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ ,*

are given by

$$S = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (\beta^i \otimes (\eta \wedge \alpha^i) - \alpha^i \otimes (\eta \wedge \beta^i)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha^i \otimes (\beta^i \wedge \xi) - \beta^i \otimes (\alpha^i \wedge \xi)) \\ + \sum_{j,k=1}^m \theta_{jk} \otimes (\alpha^j \wedge \beta^k) + \sum_{j < k} \mu_{jk} \otimes (\alpha^j \wedge \alpha^k + \beta^j \wedge \beta^k),$$

where  $\theta_{jk}$  and  $\mu_{jk}$  ( $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ ) are left-invariant 1-forms on  $G_m(\lambda)$  satisfying  $\theta_{jk} = \theta_{kj}$ ,  $\mu_{jk} = -\mu_{kj}$ , and, being  $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$ ,

$$\tilde{\nabla} \theta_{jk} = \sum_i (\theta_{ik} \wedge \mu_{ji} + \mu_{ik} \wedge \theta_{ji}) + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \xi \otimes \mu_{jk}, \\ \tilde{\nabla} \mu_{jk} = \sum_i (\mu_{ik} \wedge \mu_{ji} + \theta_{ji} \wedge \theta_{ki}) + (\lambda_k - \lambda_j) \xi \otimes \theta_{jk}.$$

**Remark 4.4.** If a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold admits a nonzero homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure of type  $\mathcal{S}_1$  then it must have constant curvature (see [3] and [9]). Thus  $(G_m(\lambda), g_\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , does not admit any homogeneous Lorentzian structure of type  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . The Lorentzian symmetric space  $(G_m(\lambda), g_0)$  does not admit *nonzero* homogeneous Lorentzian structures of type  $\mathcal{S}_1$  either, since  $(G_m(\lambda), g_0)$  has not constant curvature.

An orthonormal basis of  $(\mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varepsilon)$  is  $\{(2 - 2\varepsilon)^{-1/2}(P - Q), X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, (2 + 2\varepsilon)^{-1/2}(P + Q)\}$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ . By (2.2),

$$c_{12}(S)(Z) = -\frac{1}{2 - 2\varepsilon} S_{P-Q, P-Q, Z} + \sum_{j=1}^m (S_{X_j X_j Z} + S_{Y_j Y_j Z}) + \frac{1}{2 + 2\varepsilon} S_{P+Q, P+Q, Z} \\ = \sum_{j,k=1}^m (\mu_{jk}(X_j) \alpha^k(Z) + \theta_{jk}(X_j) \beta^k(Z) - \theta_{jk}(Y_j) \alpha^k(Z) + \mu_{jk}(Y_j) \beta^k(Z)).$$

for all  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_m(\lambda)$ . We have (compare with [4, Prop. 2.1] for Heisenberg groups).

**Proposition 4.5.** *A homogeneous Lorentzian structure on  $(G_m(\lambda), g_\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , is of type  $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (\mu_{jk}(X_j) - \theta_{jk}(Y_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\theta_{jk}(X_j) + \mu_{jk}(Y_j)) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

## 5 Reductive decompositions and groups of isometries of the 4-dimensional oscillator group

For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1(\lambda)$  with generators  $P, X, Y, Q$ , and structure equations  $[X, Y] = P$ ,  $[Q, X] = \lambda Y$ ,  $[Q, Y] = -\lambda X$ .

In particular,  $\mathfrak{g}_1(0)$  is the direct product of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra and  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_1(\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1(1)$  and the corresponding Lie group  $G_1(\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $G = G_1(1)$ .

Let  $\{\eta, \alpha, \beta, \xi\}$  be the basis dual to  $\{P, X, Y, Q\}$ . We have

**Theorem 5.1.** *All the homogeneous Lorentzian structures on the 4-dimensional oscillator group  $(G, g_\varepsilon)$ ,  $-1 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , are given by*

$$S = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\beta \otimes (\eta \wedge \alpha) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\alpha \otimes (\eta \wedge \beta) - \frac{1}{2}\beta \otimes (\alpha \wedge \xi) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \otimes (\beta \wedge \xi) + \theta \otimes (\alpha \wedge \beta), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\theta = a\eta + b\xi$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3, all the Lorentzian homogeneous structures on  $G$  are given by (5.1), where  $\theta$  is a 1-form on  $G$  satisfying  $\tilde{\nabla}\theta = 0$ . In this case,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Z\theta = \theta(X)(-\theta(Z) + \frac{1}{2}\xi(Z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\eta(Z))\beta + \theta(Y)(\theta(Z) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\eta(Z) - \frac{1}{2}\xi(Z))\alpha.$$

Replacing  $Z$  by  $X$  and  $Y$ , the condition  $\tilde{\nabla}\theta = 0$  implies  $\theta(X) = 0$  and  $\theta(Y) = 0$ , respectively. Then  $\theta = a\eta + b\xi$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Conversely, if  $\theta = a\eta + b\xi$  then  $\tilde{\nabla}\theta = 0$ , which proves the theorem.  $\square$

The nonvanishing components of the  $(1, 2)$  tensor field corresponding to the tensor field  $S$  in (5.1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_X P &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}Y, & S_P X &= aY, & S_P Y &= -aX, & S_X Q &= -\frac{1}{2}Y, \\ S_Y P &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2}X, & S_Y X &= -\frac{1}{2}P, & S_X Y &= \frac{1}{2}P, & S_Y Q &= \frac{1}{2}X, \\ S_Q X &= bY, & S_Q Y &= -bX. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 4.5, the definitions of the classes in §2, and the characterization of connected simply connected pseudo-Riemannian naturally reductive spaces in [3], we deduce

**Proposition 5.2.** *For every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , the homogeneous Lorentzian structure  $S = S_{(a,b)}$  on the 4-dimensional oscillator group  $(G, g_\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , given by (5.1) is of type  $\mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \mathcal{S}_3$ . Moreover,  $S_{(a,b)}$  is of type  $\mathcal{S}_2$  if and only if  $a = -\varepsilon$  and  $b = -1$ , and of type  $\mathcal{S}_3$  if and only if  $a = \varepsilon/2$  and  $b = 1/2$ . In particular,  $(G, g_\varepsilon)$  is a naturally reductive Lorentzian space.*

The metric  $g_\varepsilon$  is geodesically complete (see [6]) and thus every homogeneous Lorentzian structure  $S_{(a,b)}$  on  $(G, g_\varepsilon)$  has a corresponding group of isometries  $\tilde{G}_{(a,b)}$  acting transitively and effectively on  $G$ , and an associated reductive decomposition  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(a,b)} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{(a,b)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{(a,b)}$  is the Lie algebra (isomorphic to the holonomy algebra of the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}_{(a,b)} = \nabla - S_{(a,b)}$ ) generated by the curvature operators  $(\tilde{R}_{(a,b)})_{ZW} \in \mathfrak{so}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $Z, W \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Here,  $\mathfrak{so}_1(\mathfrak{g})$  is the algebra (isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{so}_1(4)$ ) of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varepsilon)$ . The structure of Lie algebra of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(a,b)}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [A, A'] &= AA' - A'A, \quad A, A' \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{(a,b)}, & [A, Z] &= A(Z), \quad A \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{(a,b)}, Z \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ [Z, W] &= (\tilde{R}_{(a,b)})_{ZW} + (S_{(a,b)})_{ZW} - (S_{(a,b)})_W Z, \quad Z, W \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$



With respect to the basis  $\{P, X, Y, Q\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the connection  $\tilde{\nabla} = \tilde{\nabla}_{(a,b)}$  is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_P X = -(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)Y, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_P Y = (\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)X, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_Q X = (\frac{1}{2} - b)Y, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_Q Y = (b - \frac{1}{2})X,$$

with the rest vanishing. Hence, the only nonvanishing component of the curvature tensor field is  $\tilde{R}_{XY} = (\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)(\beta \otimes X - \alpha \otimes Y)$ . First, we shall suppose that  $a = -\varepsilon/2$ . In this case, the holonomy algebra of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is trivial and the reductive decomposition associated to the homogeneous Lorentzian structure given by (5.1) is  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{0\} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  with structure equations  $[X, Y] = P$ ,  $[Q, X] = (b + \frac{1}{2})Y$ ,  $[Q, Y] = -(b + \frac{1}{2})X$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, b)} = \mathfrak{g}_1(b + \frac{1}{2})$  and we have

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $S = S_{(a,b)}$  be the homogeneous Lorentzian structure on the 4-dimensional oscillator group  $G = G_1(1)$  defined by (5.1) and  $a = -\varepsilon/2$ . For  $b = -1/2$  the corresponding group of isometries  $\tilde{G}_{(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}$  is the direct product  $G_1(0)$  of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and  $\mathbb{R}$  and for  $b \neq -1/2$  it is the oscillator group  $G_1(b + \frac{1}{2})$ ; in particular, if  $b = 1/2$  then the group of isometries is  $G$  itself. For each  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, b)} = G_1(b + \frac{1}{2})$  acts simply transitively on the left on  $G$ , for  $p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$ , by*

$$(p, z, q) \cdot (p', z', q') = (p + p' + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{z} e^{iq(b+\frac{1}{2})} z'), z + e^{iq(b+\frac{1}{2})} z', q + q').$$

Now, suppose that  $a \neq -\varepsilon/2$ . Then  $U = \tilde{R}_{XY} = (\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)(X \otimes \beta - Y \otimes \alpha)$  generates the holonomy algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{(a,b)}$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}_{(a,b)}$  and the reductive decomposition associated to the homogeneous Lorentzian structure  $S_{(a,b)}$  is  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(a,b)} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{(a,b)} \oplus \mathfrak{g} = \langle \{U, P, X, Y, Q\} \rangle$  with nonvanishing brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [U, X] &= -(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)Y, & [X, Y] &= U + P, & [P, Y] &= -(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)X, \\ [P, X] &= (\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)Y, & [U, Y] &= (\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)X, & [Q, Y] &= -(b + \frac{1}{2})X, \\ [Q, X] &= (b + \frac{1}{2})Y. \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $T = U + P$  then with respect to the basis  $\{T, X, Y, Q, U\}$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(a,b)}$  the nonvanishing brackets are  $[X, Y] = T$ ,  $[Q, X] = (b + \frac{1}{2})Y$ ,  $[Q, Y] = -(b + \frac{1}{2})X$ ,  $[U, X] = -(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)Y$ ,  $[U, Y] = (\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)X$ . If  $b = -1/2$  then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(a,b)}$  is the direct product of the oscillator algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1(-(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a))$  generated by  $\{T, X, Y, U\}$  and the line generated by  $Q$ . If  $b \neq -\frac{1}{2}$  then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(a,b)}$  is the semidirect product of the oscillator algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1(b + \frac{1}{2})$  generated by  $\{T, X, Y, Q\}$  and the line generated by  $U$  under the homomorphism  $\operatorname{ad}_{|\mathfrak{g}_1(b+\frac{1}{2})}: \langle U \rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}_1(b + \frac{1}{2}))$ . In both cases,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{(a,b)}$  may also be considered a semidirect product of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra generated by  $\{T, X, Y\}$  and the plane generated by  $\{Q, U\}$ . The corresponding connected simply connected Lie group is the semidirect product  $H_1 \times_{\gamma} \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $\gamma$  is the action of the additive group  $\mathbb{R}^2$  on the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group  $H_1$ , given by  $\gamma_{(q,u)}(t, z) = (t, e^{i((b+\frac{1}{2})q - (\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+a)u)} z)$ . If the manifold  $\hat{G}_{(a,b)} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^3$  is equipped with the group operation such that the bijection  $(z, p, q, u) \in \hat{G}_{(a,b)} \mapsto ((p, z), (q, u-p)) \in H_1 \times_{\gamma} \mathbb{R}^2$  is a group isomorphism, then

$\hat{G}_{(a,b)}$  acts transitively and almost effectively in a natural way on  $G$  as a group of isometries. The normal subgroup of elements of  $\hat{G}_{(a,b)}$  which act as the identity transformation on  $G$  is the discrete subspace  $N = \{(0, 0, 0, \frac{4\pi k}{\varepsilon+2a}) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , and the quotient group  $\tilde{G}_{(a,b)} = \hat{G}_{(a,b)}/N$  acts transitively and effectively on  $G$ . The group operation of  $\tilde{G}_{(a,b)} \equiv \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (z, p, q, e^{iu})(z', p', q', e^{iu'}) &= (z + \exp(i((\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)p + (b + \frac{1}{2})q + u))z', \\ &\quad p + p' + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{z} \exp(i((\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)p + (b + \frac{1}{2})q + u))z'), \quad q + q', \\ &\quad \exp(i(u + u' - \frac{\varepsilon + 2a}{4} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{z} \exp(i((\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)p + (b + \frac{1}{2})q + u))z'))), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

and we conclude

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $S = S_{(a,b)}$  be the homogeneous Lorentzian structure on the 4-dimensional oscillator group  $G = G_1(1)$  defined by (5.1) and  $a \neq -\varepsilon/2$ . The corresponding group of isometries is  $\tilde{G}_{(a,b)} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$  with the operation defined by (5.2), which acts transitively and effectively on  $G$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} (z, p, q, e^{iu}) \cdot (p', z', q') &= (p + p' + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{z} \exp(i((\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)p + (b + \frac{1}{2})q + u))z'), \\ &\quad z + \exp(i((\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + a)p + (b + \frac{1}{2})q + u))z', \quad q + q'), \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{C}, \quad p, q, p', q', u \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] W. AMBROSE and I.M. SINGER, On homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Duke Math. J. **25** (1958) 647–669.
- [2] P.M. GADEA and J.A. OUBIÑA, Homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures and homogeneous almost para-Hermitian structures, Houston J. Math. **18** (1992) 449–465.
- [3] P.M. GADEA and J.A. OUBIÑA, Reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, Monatsh. Math. **124** (1997) 17–34.
- [4] J.C. GONZÁLEZ and D. CHINEA, Quasi-Sasakian homogeneous structures on the generalized Heisenberg group  $H(p, 1)$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989) 173–184.
- [5] A.V. LEVICHEV, Chronogeometry of an electromagnetic wave given by a biinvariant metric on the oscillator group, Siberian Math. J. **27** (1986) 237–245.
- [6] A.V. LEVICHEV, Methods of investigation of the causal structure of homogeneous Lorentz manifolds, Siberian Math. J. **31** (1990) 395–408.
- [7] A. MEDINA, Groupes de Lie munis de métriques bi-invariantes, Tôhoku Math. J. **37** (1985) 405–421.
- [8] A. MEDINA and P. REVOY, Les groupes oscillateurs et leurs réseaux, Manuscripta Math. **52** (1985) 81–95.

- [9] A. MONTESINOS AMILIBIA, Degenerate homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures of class  $\mathcal{S}_1$  on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, preprint.
- [10] D. MÜLLER and F. RICCI, On the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the oscillator group, J. Reine Angew. Math. **390** (1988) 193–207.
- [11] R.F. STREATER, The representations of the oscillator group, Comm. Math. Phys. **4** (1967) 217–236.
- [12] F. TRICERRI and L. VANHECKE, Homogeneous Structures on Riemannian Manifolds, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. **83**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.

Anschrift der autoren:

P. M. Gadea  
 IMAFF  
 CSIC  
 Serrano 144  
 28006 Madrid  
 Spain  
 e-mail: pmgadea@iec.csic.es

J. A. Oubiña  
 Dep. de Xeometría e Topoloxía  
 Facultade de Matemáticas  
 Universidade de Santiago de Compostela  
 15706 Santiago de Compostela  
 Spain  
 e-mail: oubina@zmat.usc.es